

JERVOISE BAY HARBOUR PROPOSAL, LAND FOR PARKS AND RECREATION

125. Hon Jim Scott to the Minister for Housing and Works representing the Minister for the Environment and Heritage

Further to Question on Notice No. 11 of Thursday, May 3 2001 to the Minister for Infrastructure and Planning -

- (1) Did the proponent of the Jervoise Bay Harbour proposal consult with the DEP and the Department of Conservation and Land Management on the land to be incorporated into parks and recreation as compensation for the loss of part of the M91 Reserve?
- (1) If yes, will the Minister table comments and/or recommendations from the DEP and CALM to the proponent on the land proposed to be transferred into the parks and recreation zoning?
- (2) Did the DEP and/or CALM consider any of the proposed land to be of high environmental value?
- (3) If yes, which areas of land and what was the environmental significance of each section of land?

Hon TOM STEPHENS replied:

- (1) No. The Environmental Protection Authority's recommended condition requiring the replacement of the M91 reserve was not set as part of the then Minister for the Environments' statement of conditions for the Jervoise Bay Harbour Proposal. As a result, the requirement to compensate for the loss of Reserve M91 is not legally binding on the proponent for the Jervoise Bay Harbour Project.
- (2) N/A.
- (3) N/A.
- (4) N/A.